

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,266	04/05/2001	James G. Skakoon	723.041US1	4355
	7590 04/26/2005	EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0938			JUNG, WILLIAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/827,266~	SKAKOON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William Jung	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ( rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	1 1 0004					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·				
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 April 2001</u> is/are: a)∑	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has bee	en received.				
Attachment(s)	o priority under 55 C.C.C. S	3 120 and 01 121.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.	5) Notice of Inf	Immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/827,266

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-14 and 16-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by *Truwit* (US 5,993,463).

Claims 1, 11-13, 16-18, 21, 22-24, 27, 29, 30, 32: Truwit anticipates of all claimed invention in claims 1, 11-13, 16-18, 21, 22-24, 27, 29, 30, 32. Truwit discloses of trajectory guidance system and method where the surgical instrument is guided remotely by a surgeon through introducer device consisting of guide unit, holder assembly detachably housing the medical or surgical device. In addition, Truwit's device is MR compatible, i.e., the device is designed to be place in the MRI system (col. 3, line 30 – col. 5, line35; col. 14, lines 33-62; col. 15, lines 23-50; col. 16, line 60 – col. 17, line 27). Truwit also anticipates that the control of the trajectory system is calibrated by the computer system to align the introducer accurately (col. 24, lines 8-23).

Claims 2 and 26: Truwit discloses of the above introducer device where it consists of linear motion along a sliding tower or advancement sleeve 3742 as shown in figure 37 (col. 17, lines 28-67).

Claims 3, 4, 14, 25, 28, and 31: Truwit discloses of controlling of the trajectory guiding device described above where the control member 3002 is attached to the guidance unit 3001 as

Application/Control Number: 09/827,266

Art Unit: 3737

shown in figure 30. Further more, as shown in figure 34, the control mechanism includes rotatable wheel 3450, which is connected to the guidance unit 3001 via cable (pull and push mechanism).

Claims 5 and 6: Truwit discloses of the above introducer device where the body of the guide unit includes hole n place to pass the primary medical or surgical device through the assembly along the range of motion as shown in figure 37 (col. 18, lines 8-26).

Claims 7-9: Truwit discloses of the above introducer device where the locking member 3400 affixes the guide member 3300 in a set place with the locking mechanism where it includes incremental changes are made/control by marker cap 3602.

Claims 10, 19, 20: Truwit discloses of the above introducer device where the first and/or second locating attachment(s) is(are) frameless and interface to the surgeon via interface to control the trajectory of the guided medical/surgical device (col. 24, lines 24-52).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Truwit* as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of *Lee et al* (US 3,893,449).

Truwit substantially discloses of all claimed invention in claim 15. Lee et al further discloses of medical imaging and invasive medical device alignment system such as Truwit can utilize potentiometer as a local position sensor (col. 1, line 64 – col. 2, line 11). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/827,266

Art Unit: 3737

would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teachings of Truwit to the teachings of Lee et al to achieve the claimed invention.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Rapoport (US 5,957,934), Truwit et al (US 6,195,577), and Bova et al (US 6,546,279)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703-305-3256. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

WUT

September 26, 2003

DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4